

Two-beam intensity

We defined an effective excitation error for beam g :

$$s_{eff} \equiv \sqrt{s^2 + \frac{1}{\xi^2}}$$

The general two-beam result for \mathbf{g} is:

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{g}}(T) = i \frac{\sin(\pi s_{eff} T)}{s_{eff} \xi} e^{-\pi i s T}$$

The two-beam intensity is:

$$I_{\mathbf{g}} = |\Psi_{\mathbf{g}}(T)|^2 = \frac{\sin^2(\pi s_{eff} T)}{(s_{eff} \xi)^2} = \frac{\sin^2 \left[\pi \cdot (T/\xi) \cdot \sqrt{1 + w^2} \right]}{1 + w^2} \quad w \equiv s\xi$$



Alternative form

Alternatively, we could write the two-beam result for \mathbf{g} as:

$$\psi_{\mathbf{g}}(T) = i \left(\frac{\pi T}{\xi} \right) \text{sinc}(\pi s_{eff} T) e^{-\pi i s T}$$

$$I_{\mathbf{g}} = |\psi_{\mathbf{g}}(T)|^2 = \left(\frac{\pi T}{\xi} \right)^2 \text{sinc}^2(\pi s_{eff} T) = \left(\frac{\pi T}{\xi} \right)^2 \text{sinc}^2 \left(\frac{\pi \sqrt{1+w^2} T}{\xi} \right)$$

Variation with thickness/excitation error



pendellosung oscillations

Kinematical approximation

Assume coupling only to the (undiminished) $\mathbf{0}$ beam,
the H-W equations give:

$$\frac{d\Psi_{\mathbf{g}}}{dz} \approx \left(\frac{\pi i}{\xi_{\mathbf{g}}} \right) \cdot (1) \cdot e^{-2\pi i s_{\mathbf{g}} z} \quad (\Psi_{\mathbf{0}} = 1)$$

Integrate over thickness:

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{g}} = \left(\frac{\pi i}{\xi} \right) \cdot \int_{z=0}^T e^{-2\pi i s z} dz = \left(\frac{e^{\pi i s T} - e^{-\pi i s T}}{2s\xi} \right) \cdot e^{-\pi i s T} = i \left(\frac{\pi T}{\xi} \right) \text{sinc}(\pi s T) e^{-\pi i s T}$$

Kinematic diffracted intensity:

$$I_{\mathbf{g}} = |\Psi_{\mathbf{g}}|^2 = \left(\frac{\pi T}{\xi} \right)^2 \cdot \text{sinc}^2(\pi s T) = \left(\frac{\pi T}{\xi} \right)^2 \cdot \text{sinc}^2\left(\frac{\pi w T}{\xi} \right)$$

This is essentially the substitution:

$$s_{\text{eff}} \rightarrow s$$

$$\sqrt{1+w^2} \rightarrow w$$

Applicable if $s \gg \frac{1}{\xi}$

Interpretation

$$\int_{z=0}^T e^{-2\pi isz} dz = \int_{z=0}^T (1) \cdot e^{-2\pi isz} dz = \mathfrak{F}\{L(z)\}$$



$$L(z) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq z \leq T \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad // \text{shape function}$$

$$L(s) = T \cdot \text{sinc}(\pi s T) \cdot e^{-\pi is T}$$

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{g}} = \frac{i\pi}{\xi} \cdot L(s) = \frac{i\lambda \cdot F_{\mathbf{g}}}{\nu} \cdot L(s)$$

For small crystals, diffraction spots are broadened by the Fourier transform of the shape function

Dynamical vs. Kinematic




Limitations of kinematic theory

$$I_g(s=0) = \left(\frac{\pi T}{\xi} \right)^2$$

Intensity has an upper limit of unity: $I_g < 1$

Kinematic theory is only valid if:


$$\Rightarrow T < \frac{\xi}{\pi}$$



Kinematic Theory works best far from the Bragg condition.


Relrods

Reciprocal-lattice rods extend normal to thin-foil plane




Diffraction from thin crystals

Intersection of rod with Ewald sphere gives intensity



Shape function: circle


direct




FFT



reciprocal




direct



FFT



reciprocal



Shape function: thin foil


direct




FFT



reciprocal




direct



FFT




reciprocal



Shape function: wedge


direct




FFT



reciprocal




direct




FFT



reciprocal



Dynamical theory: wedge



Dynamical theory predicts spot splitting at the Bragg condition, too.